



Principles of Liberty

2017

Legislative Summary & Scorecard



Mission

The mission of Principles of Liberty (POL) is to focus the political conversation on “What is the role of government?” and “How is that role being executed at the state capitol?”

Role of the Scorecard

The POL scorecard is a useful tool for identifying trends and making relative comparisons. The scorecard is indeed a powerful tool, but it is not an arbiter of final judgment. It is an objective, consistent, rational way to evaluate the voting records of legislators. We do this by comparing a legislator’s votes on the hundreds of bills that POL analyzes with the 8 Core Principles that we use as our standard for legislative analysis. Bill analyses are usually published by POL BEFORE legislators cast their votes, allowing time for productive dialogue with and amongst legislators and citizens. POL analyzes more bills than any other organization in the state. In 2017, POL analyzed and scored 263 Colorado bills.

Summary of Bills and Analysis Online

As you can imagine, it would turn into a book if we published the analyses for every single bill in this report. This is where your own “Personal Responsibility” (one of our 8 Core Principles!) comes into play – you can find all 263 Colorado bill analyses on our website at www.principlesofliberty.org. Just click on the dropdown box at the top of the home page for Ratings/2017 Bills/House for all House bills, and Ratings/2017 Bills/Senate for all Senate bills.

Got Questions?

If you would like additional information about any specific bill or rating, please do what many of our legislators do – email your question to our Executive Director Chelsea Spencer at Chelsea@principlesofliberty.org and we will give you more of the in-depth research that went into our analysis.

Grades

POL does not curve the grades. There is a sufficient amount of data to allow you to make your own observations and draw your own conclusions. The assignment of a letter grade is simply a linear scale. 60% is the “passing” mark, hence:

60% - 62% = D-

63% - 65% = D

66% - 68% = D+

69% - 71% = C-

...

87% - 89% = A-

90% - 92% = A

93% - 100% = A+

Results

As we've said repeatedly, ***if we're agreeing with someone 75% of the time, then we think that's a good thing!*** Not everyone is going to agree on everything. We believe that even a 69-74% has to be considered at least a good starting point on common ground for a conversation with your legislator. That's the C- to C+ range. There is no grade inflation at POL. A grade of C is average. This isn't Lake Wobegone where ALL of the legislators are above average. We also don't cherry-pick a set of bills to make one side look good and another side look bad.

That said, once you drop below a C-, we think that some questions need to be asked. First, look back at prior years to see if such a score is consistent for that legislator, or if their scores have bounced up/down in the past. If it's an irregular score, and their score is usually higher, then we'd suggest monitoring. Also look at a legislator's scores vs. the political orientation their district, remembering that cuts both ways. Is a C appropriate for a legislator in a "big government" type of district? Is a C appropriate for a legislator in a "limited government" type of district? If a score is consistently in D or F territory, then perhaps more investigation is warranted.

Think For Yourself, Verify the Facts

Do your own homework. Do not accept anyone's word on a legislator as gospel. Do not accept your legislator's "spin". Legislators are good at getting elected. They do that by saying what you want to hear. Look at the bills POL rated, and look up your legislator's votes on those bills. Maybe even read the bills for yourself (we can show you where to find them). Yes, that takes time, but if you want to get the facts, you must do your homework and draw your own conclusions. ***Too many people blindly listen to what their legislator says because it's easy and it's what they want to hear.*** If you want the easy button, then just accept the soothing spin of your politician. Some legislators have low scores, and you should seek to find out why. Don't just let them waive it off—some will try! Please do some basic homework and make use of the mountain of work that POL has done for you.

Talk to High Scoring Legislators

There are many Colorado legislators with great POL scores, and they are proud of those scores. Ask them about POL, even if they're not your legislator. Ask them about their high scores and see what they say. Some follow POL closely, some may not. Many legislators dialogue with us regularly and ask us for additional detailed analysis on bills during the legislative session. Get their opinion on the value POL brings to the political discussion.

Non-Partisan Objective Analysis Has Helped Us Be Effective

Once again, ***legislators on both sides of the aisle contacted POL this year about our analysis.*** We successfully worked with both parties this year on pending legislation. In addition, POL was contacted by and worked with lobbyists, non-profit advocacy groups, and even Colorado state department personnel.

Scorecard Data Statistical Significance

The scores we calculate are based upon a statistically significant set of data—we have enough data to identify trends and make relative comparisons. Analyzing 263 bills gives us thousands of data points on our 100 Colorado legislators. A data point is generated for each principle that applies to a bill any time a legislator votes on a bill that we've analyzed. Those data points represent votes on about 150 different bills for each legislator on average. The set of bills for each legislator is different because we track EVERY vote a legislator makes in committee and in Thirds on the floor. We won't bore you with the statistical details, but if you have a question on the mathematical methodology, President Rich Bratten is happy to discuss! But fair warning, he's an actuary and a bit of a math 'geek', so be careful what you ask for...!

Thank You

Thanks to our staff at POL - Chelsea Spencer and Joshua Kistler. Thanks to all of our fantastic volunteers from all over the state. Thanks to awesome our interns Ellen Densmore, Branden Yeates, Nathaniel Braswell, Adam Densmore, Jecca Geffre, Mikayle Jacquot, and Emily Karl! Thanks to the many activists and group leaders throughout Colorado that have worked with POL. And thanks to our many legislators who engage with POL on a regular basis! Without your help, support and dedication, we could be not so effective.

Conclusion

We appreciate the following that POL has gained over the years. We are regularly contacted and referenced by individuals, activists, legislators of both parties, staffers, candidates, and lobbyists. Our goal is to focus these conversations on the proper role of government, what's happening at the state capitol to that end, and **we want to empower you, the citizen, to make your voice heard.**

Let's keep up the diligent work that is required of us to keep our freedom and liberty, and to maintain and oversee our republic. POL provides the people of Colorado with a powerful tool, but like any tool, it's only as good as the people who pick it up and use it. POL does not get involved in any electoral activity. It is up to you as constituents to decide whether you think that your legislator is representing you the way you want. POL provides an objective tool for you to honestly evaluate their performance. Don't just blindly accept anyone's word – ours or your politician's. You must make the effort to get the facts and evaluate for yourself what is happening at the state capitol and then do something about it – Colorado is depending upon you!

Principles of Liberty 2017 Final House Aggregate Scores

Based on POL Rated Legislation Voted Upon House Floor (Thirds/Final) & All Committees of Reference Excl Approps

Rank	Name	Individual Liberty	Personal Responsibility	Property Rights*	Free Markets	Limited Government	Fiscal Responsibility	Equal Protection of the Law*	State vs Federal Powers*	Overall Score	Grade
1	Everett	100%	100%	80% *	100%	99%	98%	100% *	100% *	98.9%	A+
2	Neville P.	100%	100%	80% *	97%	98%	97%	100% *	100% *	97.4%	A+
3	Ransom	100%	100%	87% *	95%	96%	95%	100% *	100% *	96.4%	A+
4	Leonard	95%	98%	83% *	94%	97%	98%	95% *	100% *	95.8%	A+
5	Buck	100%	95%	86% *	96%	95%	95%	100% *	100% *	95.7%	A+
6	Humphrey	95%	98%	84% *	97%	96%	93%	93% *	100% *	95.4%	A+
7	Williams D.	95%	100%	82% *	92%	96%	100%	93% *	100% *	95.2%	A+
8	Saine	100%	95%	69% *	94%	96%	94%	100% *	60% *	94.2%	A+
9	Navarro	100%	98%	86% *	90%	93%	76%	100% *	75% *	91.5%	A
10	VanWinkle	97%	100%	83% *	85%	91%	90%	100% *	60% *	90.7%	A
11	Nordberg	100%	85%	91% *	85%	90%	88%	100% *	80% *	89.2%	A-
12	Wist	88%	86%	77% *	92%	91%	91%	71% *	75% *	88.8%	A-
13	Lewis	94%	89%	55% *	89%	89%	86%	100% *	100% *	88.7%	A-
14	Lundeen	95%	84%	57% *	92%	87%	80%	70% *	80% *	85.3%	B+
15	Carver	95%	81%	71% *	85%	85%	85%	71% *	67% *	84.3%	B+
16	McKean	97%	84%	65% *	84%	85%	76%	71% *	60% *	82.6%	B
17	Sias	100%	78%	70% *	84%	84%	66%	67% *	75% *	81.4%	B
18	Becker J.	100%	75%	64% *	80%	82%	72%	82% *	60% *	80.1%	B-
19	Liston	91%	87%	64% *	74%	79%	81%	85% *	75% *	79.9%	B-
20	Beckman	97%	84%	75% *	76%	78%	74%	92% *	40% *	79.1%	B-
21	Landgraf	94%	80%	73% *	69%	73%	73%	91% *	50% *	75.5%	C+
22	Willett	79%	76%	59% *	74%	77%	68%	73% *	33% *	74.1%	C
23	Lawrence	97%	80%	83% *	73%	72%	59%	88% *	33% *	73.9%	C
24	Rankin	93%	69%	90% *	77%	74%	58%	73% *	50% *	73.9%	C
25	Covarrubias	97%	73%	91% *	68%	71%	60%	71% *	50% *	71.8%	C
26	Wilson	87%	63%	50% *	72%	73%	56%	53% *	50% *	68.6%	C-
27	Catlin	97%	58%	64% *	65%	68%	41%	60% *	20% *	64.8%	D
28	Thurlow	89%	61%	73% *	53%	62%	34%	67% *	20% *	58.8%	F
29	Gray	66%	16%	67% *	28%	34%	21%	17% *	20% *	31.9%	F
30	Herod	70%	15%	67% *	23%	35%	16%	11% *	33% *	31.7%	F
31	Salazar	70%	15%	64% *	18%	35%	15%	13% *	40% *	31.7%	F
32	Weissman	59%	13%	55% *	21%	35%	11%	24% *	33% *	31.6%	F
33	Coleman	72%	13%	71% *	22%	34%	16%	21% *	25% *	31.1%	F
34	Lee	72%	13%	71% *	18%	33%	15%	20% *	40% *	31.0%	F
35	Lebsock	71%	14%	71% *	20%	32%	21%	14% *	20% *	30.8%	F
36	Melton	57%	13%	50% *	21%	34%	13%	17% *	33% *	30.7%	F
37	Kraft Tharp	70%	15%	70% *	22%	33%	14%	18% *	25% *	30.2%	F
38	Duran	72%	15%	67% *	19%	32%	19%	18% *	33% *	30.0%	F
39	Arndt	68%	17%	69% *	20%	31%	16%	18% *	40% *	29.8%	F
40	Danielson	68%	16%	69% *	20%	31%	16%	18% *	20% *	29.8%	F
41	Valdez	71%	14%	65% *	19%	32%	16%	14% *	20% *	29.6%	F
42	Garnett	70%	15%	70% *	22%	32%	18%	13% *	25% *	29.5%	F
43	Winter	71%	15%	60% *	19%	32%	17%	18% *	20% *	29.0%	F
44	Rosenthal	69%	11%	64% *	20%	31%	16%	21% *	25% *	29.0%	F
45	Benavidez	41%	11%	45% *	26%	33%	23%	18% *	17% *	29.0%	F
46	Hansen	70%	15%	69% *	18%	31%	16%	18% *	17% *	28.8%	F
47	Young	68%	14%	60% *	18%	31%	15%	18% *	25% *	28.8%	F
48	Mitsch Bush	71%	15%	57% *	17%	30%	19%	18% *	33% *	28.7%	F
49	Hamner	68%	14%	50% *	20%	31%	15%	18% *	25% *	28.4%	F
50	Singer	69%	9%	64% *	17%	31%	14%	14% *	25% *	28.2%	F
51	Pettersen	67%	15%	60% *	19%	30%	15%	19% *	25% *	28.1%	F
52	Exum	70%	13%	57% *	19%	29%	17%	17% *	25% *	27.8%	F
53	Foote	50%	9%	48% *	23%	31%	17%	23% *	14% *	27.7%	F
54	Bridges	70%	14%	55% *	17%	29%	18%	13% *	40% *	27.6%	F
55	Hooton	52%	12%	44% *	20%	31%	13%	29% *	20% *	27.6%	F
56	Michaelson Jenet	64%	13%	55% *	20%	30%	17%	13% *	20% *	27.5%	F
57	Ginal	63%	14%	58% *	18%	29%	16%	18% *	20% *	27.4%	F
58	Pabon	67%	17%	45% *	19%	30%	15%	14% *	20% *	27.3%	F
59	Becker K.	68%	11%	60% *	15%	30%	12%	18% *	25% *	27.1%	F
60	Esgar	67%	16%	60% *	17%	28%	13%	18% *	17% *	26.9%	F
61	McLachlan	67%	11%	60% *	16%	29%	17%	19% *	20% *	26.4%	F
62	Lontine	50%	13%	42% *	18%	27%	12%	29% *	20% *	25.5%	F
63	Kennedy	59%	14%	58% *	20%	27%	13%	13% *	20% *	25.2%	F
64	Buckner	60%	15%	56% *	18%	26%	13%	19% *	33% *	24.7%	F
65	Jackson	57%	14%	45% *	14%	25%	14%	18% *	20% *	23.2%	F

* Not enough data to be statistically significant. See other side for the number of bills rated for each Principle.

While most scorecards use less than 20 hand-picked bills to generate a rating, POL believes that is not enough data to reliably identify trends.

Principles of Liberty 2017 Number of Bills Rated Per Principle

Based on POL Rated Legislation Voted Upon House Floor (Thirds/Final) & All Committees of Reference Excl Approps

Rank	Name	Individual Liberty	Personal Responsibility	Property Rights*	Free Markets	Limited Government	Fiscal Responsibility	Equal Protection of the Law*	State vs Federal Powers*	Grade
1	Everett	33	47	10 *	68	155	40	16 *	4 *	A+
2	Neville P.	28	36	10 *	60	131	33	11 *	4 *	A+
3	Ransom	38	53	15 *	82	175	40	14 *	4 *	A+
4	Leonard	40	48	18 *	70	160	43	19 *	5 *	A+
5	Buck	32	41	14 *	71	153	43	11 *	6 *	A+
6	Humphrey	43	47	19 *	78	168	41	14 *	5 *	A+
7	Williams D.	42	46	17 *	83	171	39	14 *	5 *	A+
8	Saine	29	37	13 *	63	135	36	10 *	5 *	A+
9	Navarro	32	50	14 *	87	177	42	19 *	4 *	A
10	VanWinkle	33	45	12 *	93	183	49	12 *	5 *	A
11	Nordberg	32	40	11 *	80	168	41	11 *	5 *	A-
12	Wist	34	37	13 *	61	144	32	14 *	4 *	A-
13	Lewis	32	45	11 *	64	141	35	11 *	5 *	A-
14	Lundeen	37	45	14 *	65	163	40	20 *	5 *	B+
15	Carver	37	42	14 *	68	160	41	14 *	6 *	B+
16	McKean	29	43	17 *	68	143	38	14 *	5 *	B
17	Sias	28	45	10 *	82	168	41	15 *	4 *	B
18	Becker J.	30	40	14 *	69	148	39	11 *	5 *	B-
19	Liston	33	45	14 *	61	142	32	13 *	4 *	B-
20	Beckman	33	45	12 *	88	176	50	13 *	5 *	B-
21	Landgraf	34	41	11 *	72	158	37	11 *	4 *	C+
22	Willett	38	42	17 *	66	158	37	15 *	6 *	C
23	Lawrence	33	46	12 *	82	174	56	16 *	6 *	C
24	Rankin	27	36	10 *	60	129	33	11 *	4 *	C
25	Covarrubias	31	41	11 *	79	168	52	14 *	4 *	C
26	Wilson	31	48	14 *	71	157	41	19 *	4 *	C-
27	Catlin	37	48	11 *	77	171	51	15 *	5 *	D
28	Thurlow	35	51	15 *	98	193	53	18 *	5 *	F
29	Gray	35	50	15 *	98	188	52	18 *	5 *	F
30	Herod	40	47	15 *	78	180	50	19 *	6 *	F
31	Salazar	37	40	14 *	62	150	34	15 *	5 *	F
32	Weissman	49	46	22 *	66	164	38	17 *	6 *	F
33	Coleman	32	45	14 *	83	166	37	14 *	4 *	F
34	Lee	36	45	14 *	65	162	40	20 *	5 *	F
35	Lebsock	31	43	17 *	69	147	38	14 *	5 *	F
36	Melton	49	46	22 *	66	166	38	18 *	6 *	F
37	Kraft Tharp	30	40	10 *	79	158	35	11 *	4 *	F
38	Duran	25	33	9 *	58	123	31	11 *	3 *	F
39	Arndt	31	42	13 *	83	163	38	11 *	5 *	F
40	Danielson	34	45	13 *	70	151	37	11 *	5 *	F
41	Valdez	31	42	17 *	68	146	38	14 *	5 *	F
42	Garnett	30	46	10 *	83	169	40	15 *	4 *	F
43	Winter	31	41	10 *	85	171	42	11 *	5 *	F
44	Rosenthal	32	45	14 *	83	164	37	14 *	4 *	F
45	Benavidez	51	53	22 *	80	193	53	22 *	6 *	F
46	Hansen	30	40	13 *	72	153	43	11 *	6 *	F
47	Young	28	36	10 *	60	131	33	11 *	4 *	F
48	Mitsch Bush	31	40	14 *	71	153	43	11 *	6 *	F
49	Hamner	28	36	10 *	60	131	33	11 *	4 *	F
50	Singer	35	47	14 *	70	153	35	14 *	4 *	F
51	Pettersen	33	48	10 *	68	155	40	16 *	4 *	F
52	Exum	30	46	14 *	68	150	41	18 *	4 *	F
53	Foote	52	53	21 *	80	192	54	22 *	7 *	F
54	Bridges	30	43	11 *	69	156	44	15 *	5 *	F
55	Hooton	44	50	18 *	69	159	40	14 *	5 *	F
56	Michaelson Jenet	36	48	11 *	79	169	48	15 *	5 *	F
57	Ginal	38	49	12 *	83	180	45	11 *	5 *	F
58	Pabon	33	47	11 *	72	155	47	14 *	5 *	F
59	Becker K.	28	35	10 *	59	130	33	11 *	4 *	F
60	Esgar	33	44	15 *	86	176	46	11 *	6 *	F
61	McLachlan	30	45	10 *	73	161	46	16 *	5 *	F
62	Lontine	44	47	19 *	79	171	41	14 *	5 *	F
63	Kennedy	34	50	12 *	91	185	53	15 *	5 *	F
64	Buckner	30	46	9 *	77	164	40	16 *	3 *	F
65	Jackson	30	42	11 *	79	163	43	11 *	5 *	F

* While most scorecards use less than 20 hand-picked bills to generate a rating, POL believes that is not enough data to reliably identify trends.

Principles of Liberty 2017 Final Senate Aggregate Scores

Based on POL Rated Legislation Voted Upon Senate Floor (Thirds/Final) & All Committees of Reference Excl Approps

Rank	Name	Individual Liberty	Personal Responsibility	Property Rights*	Free Markets	Limited Government	Fiscal Responsibility	Equal Protection of the Law*	State vs Federal Powers*	Overall Score	Grade
1	Marble	94%	91%	91%*	93%	93%	97%	80%*	50%*	92.7%	A+
2	Holbert	88%	86%	86%*	88%	88%	87%	89%*	33%*	87.1%	A-
3	Neville T.	91%	88%	88%*	87%	90%	81%	71%*	50%*	86.9%	A-
4	Baumgardner	90%	74%	74%*	88%	84%	84%	89%*	100%*	85.1%	B+
5	Sonnenberg	92%	80%	80%*	81%	82%	85%	73%*	33%*	82.9%	B
6	Cooke	82%	74%	74%*	80%	79%	80%	67%*	40%*	78.4%	B-
7	Smallwood	85%	64%	64%*	73%	80%	79%	75%*	50%*	77.3%	C+
8	Lundberg	96%	70%	70%*	70%	76%	62%	75%*	0%*	74.9%	C+
9	Lambert	92%	68%	68%*	69%	73%	64%	86%*	33%*	73.2%	C
10	Hill	94%	73%	73%*	68%	74%	62%	59%*	0%*	72.5%	C
11	Scott	91%	65%	65%*	61%	70%	68%	70%*	33%*	70.5%	C-
12	Tate	81%	59%	59%*	53%	63%	47%	50%*	0%*	59.6%	D-
13	Grantham	87%	44%	44%*	52%	58%	36%	50%*	0%*	56.0%	F
14	Priola	78%	38%	38%*	48%	56%	26%	40%*	0%*	51.7%	F
15	Gardner	77%	38%	38%*	53%	55%	32%	57%*	0%*	51.6%	F
16	Coram	83%	36%	36%*	43%	52%	27%	20%*	25%*	49.5%	F
17	Crowder	86%	39%	39%*	43%	52%	29%	33%*	0%*	49.3%	F
18	Martinez Humenik	86%	32%	32%*	37%	49%	36%	22%*	0%*	46.6%	F
19	Jahn	74%	41%	41%*	34%	49%	24%	38%*	0%*	45.3%	F
20	Garcia	83%	33%	33%*	38%	45%	20%	13%*	0%*	43.2%	F
21	Donovan	81%	26%	26%*	39%	45%	19%	13%*	0%*	43.2%	F
22	Williams A.	59%	40%	40%*	33%	48%	23%	25%*	0%*	42.3%	F
23	Fields	69%	29%	29%*	38%	45%	19%	18%*	0%*	41.5%	F
24	Kerr	76%	33%	33%*	33%	45%	18%	20%*	0%*	41.3%	F
25	Guzman	78%	27%	27%*	32%	45%	17%	13%*	0%*	41.0%	F
26	Zenzinger	77%	30%	30%*	28%	48%	15%	9%*	0%*	40.6%	F
27	Todd	77%	27%	27%*	30%	46%	15%	10%*	0%*	40.0%	F
28	Merrifield	78%	24%	24%*	34%	44%	19%	10%*	0%*	39.8%	F
29	Kagan	78%	24%	24%*	33%	44%	17%	8%*	0%*	39.7%	F
30	Moreno	74%	26%	26%*	29%	45%	17%	25%*	0%*	39.2%	F
31	Jones	72%	29%	29%*	33%	40%	13%	13%*	0%*	38.5%	F
32	Aguilar	77%	23%	23%*	29%	40%	23%	13%*	0%*	37.5%	F
33	Kefalas	68%	24%	24%*	31%	43%	15%	13%*	0%*	37.5%	F
34	Fenberg	53%	18%	18%*	23%	34%	18%	9%*	0%*	30.5%	F
35	Court	58%	15%	15%*	18%	31%	19%	27%*	0%*	28.3%	F

* Not enough data to be statistically significant. See other side for the number of bills rated for each Principle.

While most scorecards use less than 20 hand-picked bills to generate a rating, POL believes that is not enough data to reliably identify trends.

Principles of Liberty 2017 Number of Bills Rated Per Principle

Based on POL Rated Legislation Voted Upon Senate Floor (Thirds/Final) & All Committees of Reference Excl Approps

Rank	Name	Individual Liberty	Personal Responsibility	Property Rights*	Free Markets	Limited Government	Fiscal Responsibility	Equal Protection of the Law*	State vs Federal Powers*	Grade
1	Marble	32	45	17 *	67	147	35	10 *	2 *	A+
2	Holbert	25	28	12 *	42	106	30	9 *	3 *	A-
3	Neville T.	32	42	16 *	86	178	57	14 *	2 *	A-
4	Baumgardner	29	35	16 *	49	122	32	9 *	3 *	B+
5	Sonnenberg	38	51	18 *	72	165	40	11 *	3 *	B
6	Cooke	34	38	18 *	51	135	35	12 *	5 *	B-
7	Smallwood	34	39	13 *	79	162	33	8 *	2 *	C+
8	Lundberg	25	27	12 *	43	105	29	8 *	2 *	C+
9	Lambert	24	28	11 *	42	103	28	7 *	3 *	C
10	Hill	34	48	15 *	79	181	61	17 *	2 *	C
11	Scott	33	40	18 *	56	141	38	10 *	3 *	C-
12	Tate	31	39	15 *	83	171	53	12 *	2 *	D-
13	Grantham	23	27	11 *	42	102	28	8 *	2 *	F
14	Priola	27	34	13 *	64	140	31	10 *	2 *	F
15	Gardner	30	34	14 *	45	126	38	14 *	5 *	F
16	Coram	30	33	17 *	47	123	33	10 *	4 *	F
17	Crowder	28	33	13 *	54	128	34	9 *	3 *	F
18	Martinez Humenik	28	31	13 *	54	125	33	9 *	3 *	F
19	Jahn	27	32	13 *	64	134	29	8 *	2 *	F
20	Garcia	24	30	14 *	45	111	30	8 *	2 *	F
21	Donovan	26	31	17 *	46	115	32	8 *	3 *	F
22	Williams A.	27	30	12 *	63	129	30	8 *	1 *	F
23	Fields	32	31	15 *	45	119	32	11 *	4 *	F
24	Kerr	29	33	14 *	69	145	39	10 *	2 *	F
25	Guzman	23	26	11 *	41	100	29	8 *	1 *	F
26	Zenzinger	26	33	12 *	46	118	34	11 *	3 *	F
27	Todd	26	33	12 *	46	117	33	10 *	3 *	F
28	Merrifield	23	29	11 *	44	110	32	10 *	2 *	F
29	Kagan	32	34	13 *	48	135	47	12 *	4 *	F
30	Moreno	23	27	11 *	42	103	29	8 *	2 *	F
31	Jones	25	28	15 *	43	109	30	8 *	2 *	F
32	Aguilar	22	31	11 *	52	120	31	8 *	2 *	F
33	Kefalas	28	34	13 *	58	131	34	8 *	3 *	F
34	Fenberg	38	50	19 *	73	166	40	11 *	3 *	F
35	Court	38	52	18 *	82	186	62	15 *	3 *	F

* While most scorecards use less than 20 hand-picked bills to generate a rating, POL believes that is not enough data to reliably identify trends.

Colorado State House Award Winners

#1 Justin Everett 98.9% A+



#2 Patrick Neville 97.4% A+



#3 Kim Ransom 96.4% A+



#4 Tim Leonard 95.8% A+



#5 Perry Buck 95.7% A+



#6 Steve Humphrey 95.4% A+



#7 David Williams 95.2% A+



#8 Lori Saine 94.2% A+



Colorado State Senate Award Winners

#1 Vicki Marble 92.7% A+



#2 Chris Holbert 87.1% A-



#3 Tim Neville 86.9% A-





Principles Of Liberty

www.principlesofliberty.org

What? Find out what is going on legislatively at the state capitol.

How? We analyze legislation based upon defined Principles of Liberty.

Why? Be informed about how your legislators actually vote.

What are the Principles?

Free People

- ✓ Individual Liberty
- ✓ Personal Responsibility

Free Markets

- ✓ Property Rights
- ✓ Free Markets

Good Government

- ✓ Limited Government
- ✓ Fiscal Responsibility
- ✓ Equal Protection of the Rule of Law
- ✓ State vs. Federal Balance of Power